

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,836	03/19/2004	Toshihiro Fukuda	10122/005003	7548
7590 01/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Jonathan P. Osha			WINNER, TONY H	
OSHA & MAY Suite 2800	L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1221 McKinney St.			3611	
Houston, TX 77010			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/804,836	FUKUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tony H. Winner	3611			
	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess		
Period for Reply	LITARY REBION FOR DERIV	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 1	MACNITU(C) OD TUIDTV (20)	DAVC		
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be averafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the set of	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'SER, FROM THE MAILING Disable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication, ided above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing tr. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on 10/13	<u>3/05</u> .				
2a) This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this applica	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.					
	claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is	s/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and</u>	<u>8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/ar	e objected to.					
8)	re subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.				
· — ·	ed on is/are: a)□ acc		o by the Examiner.			
	request that any objection to the					
Replacement draw	ing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawir	ıg(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	t 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or decla	ration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO)-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
12) Acknowledament	is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	e * c)□ None of:	,				
1. Certified co	opies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2.⊠ Certified co	opies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No. <u>10/088790</u> .			
Copies of t	the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have bee	n received in this National St	tage		
• •	from the International Bureau					
* See the attached o	detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)	(DTO 000)	∧ □	Current (DTO, 442)			
 Notice of References Cited D Notice of Draftsperson's Page 	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Stat Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/03</u>	tement(s) (PTO-1449 pr PTO/8B/08)	5) Notice o 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/804,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

Election

1. Applicant elects species II without traverse is acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1 and 3-8 were said to be readable on the elected species. Claim 2 is withdrawn from consideration. An action on the merits follows.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1, 7, and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1 and 2 of U. S. Patent No. 6,851,508 B2 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the elements of the instant claims have already been claimed in the '508 patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joshita (USPN. 5,971,094).

Joshita discloses a steering apparatus having the structural substantially as claimed. The device (figure 1):

a. a ball screw mechanism having a ball screw nut (10) and a ball screw shaft connected to a steering mechanism;

b. a steering shaft (3) to which a steering force is inputted;a torque sensor (7) for detecting a steering torque generated on said steering shaft;

- c. a motor for generating a steering assist power on the basis of a signal from said torque sensor, said steering assist power being transmitted through said ball screw mechanism to said steering mechanism; and
- d. an elastic member (50) disposed at a portion supporting said ball screw nut, said elastic member being deformable so as to absorb an impact.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (571) 272-6654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (571) 272-6651. Currently, the

Application/Control Number: 10/804,836 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is (571) 273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6584.

TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

December 19, 2005